



**TREASURE COAST HOMELESS SERVICES COUNCIL, INC.**  
 2525 St. Lucie Avenue  
 Vero Beach, FL 32960

[irhsclh@aol.com](mailto:irhsclh@aol.com)

[www.tchelpspot.org](http://www.tchelpspot.org)

772-567-7790

**2018 CoC Program Application – Rating and Ranking Procedure**

The Treasure Coast Homeless Services Council CoC Ranking and Review committee will be utilizing HUD’s Project Rating Tool to rank projects in this year’s NOFA. Priority will be given to programs that serve the most vulnerable clients and are 100% dedicated to serve chronic individuals. Project priority for funding will be:

- PSH Projects that serve the highest number of vulnerable clients who have more than one condition at entry and those who have domestic violence experience.
- HMIS Projects
- Renewal Projects based on ranking
- New Projects

This tool satisfies the objective criteria requirement in the FY 2018 CoC Program NOFA. Tier 1 funding will be 94% of the CoC ARD and Tier 2 funding will be 6% of the ARD.

**Renewal Project Scoring**

<b>Performance Measurement</b>	<b>Measurement Source</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Points</b>
Housing Placement	Coordinated Entry System	Length of time from CE referral to enrollment (less than 180 days)	20
Permanent Housing Placement	Annual Progress Report	Percentage of clients that remain in or exit to permanent housing (at least 90%)	25
Rate of Recidivism	System Performance	Percent of clients that return to homelessness within 12 months after exiting to PH (less than 15%)	15
Earned Income (Stayers)	Annual Progress Report	Percentage of stayers that increased their earned income during the past year (8%)	2.5
Other Income (Stayers)	Annual Progress Report	Percentage of stayers that increased their total income during the past year (at least 10%)	2.5



**TREASURE COAST HOMELESS SERVICES COUNCIL, INC.**  
 2525 St. Lucie Avenue  
 Vero Beach, FL 32960

Earned Income (Leavers)	Annual Progress Report	Percentage of leavers that increased their earned income during the past year (at least 8%)	2.5
Other Income (Leavers)	Annual Progress Report	Percentage of leavers that increased their total income during the past year (at least 10%)	2.5
Serve High Need Population	Coordinated Entry	The Assessment score for participants indicates a score with 95% at highest end of range (PSH or RRH)	20
Reasonable Costs	Total Budget/Number of Clients Served	Costs are within 5% of the average cost per client served for project type	20
Coordinated Entry Participation	Coordinated Entry	Percent of clients enrolled that came from the Coordinated Entry System (at least 95%)	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	Policies/Activities	Commits to applying fair housing laws per CoC standards	5
Commits to Housing First Model/ Low Barriers	Policies/Grant Application	Commits to applying Housing First model	5
CoC Monitoring Score	Data Quality LOCCS Draws	Meets CoC data quality standards and spends down their grant appropriately	10

Projects will be ranked according to the project scoring listed above. The lowest ranked and lowest priority program(s) will be in Tier 2. All project applicants will be informed of their score and ranking on or before **September 3, 2018** with the opportunity to appeal via email. The results of the ranking will be on the Agency’s website on or before **September 3, 2018**.

## RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Participants are placed in housing less than 180 days after referral to PSH	<input type="text"/> days	<input type="text"/> out of	20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	90% remain in or move to PH	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 15% of participants return to homelessness	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	15
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
Earned income for project leavers	8%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	10%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			0	out of <b>70</b>
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with 95% at highest end of PSH range	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	20
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			0	out of <b>20</b>
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type (total	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	5
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			0	out of <b>35</b>
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	5
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			0	out of <b>15</b>
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>				
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			0	out of <b>140</b>
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>				out of <b>100</b>